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Introduction

1. This guidance for osteopathic educational institutions sets out the professional behavior and fitness to practise expected of osteopathic students, and how to address fitness to practise concerns during a student’s study for the award of a recognised qualification.

2. Our aim is to promote a consistency of approach to student fitness to practise across all education providers. There is also a complementary booklet for students Guidance about Professional Behaviours and Fitness to Practise for Osteopathic Students developed in cooperation with osteopathic educational institutions and students.

3. Information is also provided on the statutory requirements of ‘good character’ and ‘good health’ at the point of registration. The intention is that, normally, matters affecting student fitness to practise are dealt with during the programme of study. It would be unusual for a matter to be dealt with prior to the award of a recognised qualification and then for registration to be refused as a result of further consideration of the same matter.

The General Osteopathic Council

4. The General Osteopathic Council (GOsC) regulates the practice of osteopathy in the United Kingdom. By law, osteopaths must be registered with the GOsC in order to practise in the UK.
   - The GOsC keeps the public Register of all those permitted to practise osteopathy in the UK.
   - The GOsC works with the public and osteopathic profession to promote patient safety. The GOsC sets standards and monitors the maintenance and development of high standards of osteopathic practice and conduct.
   - The GOsC also assures the quality of osteopathic education and ensures that osteopaths undertake continuing professional development.
   - The GOsC helps patients with any concerns or complaints about an osteopath and has the power to remove from the Register osteopaths who are unfit to practise.

5. As with all healthcare regulators, the overarching objective of the General Osteopathic Council (GOsC) in exercising its functions is the protection of the public. This involves:
   - protecting, promoting and maintaining the health, safety and wellbeing of the public
   - promoting and maintaining public confidence in the profession of osteopathy
   - promoting and maintaining proper professional standards and conduct for members of the profession.

6. There are nine statutory regulators regulating healthcare professionals in the UK. The GOsC regulates osteopaths.

Award of a recognised qualification

7. The award of a recognised qualification in osteopathy, by an osteopathic educational institution, means that the holder is capable of practising without supervision to the standards expected in the GOsC’s Osteopathic Practice Standards.

8. Once a recognised qualification has been awarded, its holder may apply for registration and entry to the GOsC Register, subject to satisfying character and health requirements. If no additional information is available to the GOsC, it would not normally expect to refuse registration to a person who has been awarded a recognised qualification.

---

1 Available at: [bit.ly/gosc-student-ftp](bit.ly/gosc-student-ftp)
2 SS(1) Osteopaths Act 1993, as amended by the Health and Social Care (Safety & Quality) Act 2015
3 Available at: [bit.ly/gosc-ops](bit.ly/gosc-ops)
4 See Section 3(2) of the Osteopaths Act 1993.
9. Student fitness to practise procedures help to ensure patient safety and public trust in the profession, and support the remediation of students while maintaining patient safety.

10. This guidance is designed to be a helpful framework for osteopathic educational institutions. However, institutions are responsible for ensuring that the framework is developed and delivered locally. Although the General Osteopathic Council (GOsC) is not a source of appeal for student fitness to practise decisions made by educational institutions, it will scrutinise the student fitness to practise procedures as part of its quality assurance activities. Educational institutions should make clear how they will process complaints or appeals from applicants.

11. All osteopathic educational institutions are expected to make clear to students how professional behaviours are taught and learning opportunities facilitated during their educational programme. It should be clear to students how they will develop the knowledge, skills and attitudes to eventually comply with the Osteopathic Practice Standards\(^5\) and meet the outcomes set out in the Guidance on Osteopathic Pre-registration Education\(^6\). 

12. Every osteopathic educational institution is expected to have a published statement about how student fitness to practise is managed and incorporated into the admissions process and the educational programme. The local policy should be regularly reviewed to ensure that it is consistent with the procedures in place at the educational institution, the validating university (where this is separate from the institution) and the clinical settings within which osteopathic care is delivered.

13. All clinical and educational settings should have clear procedures in place indicating how staff, students and patients should raise concerns.

14. Osteopathic educational institutions should ensure that these policies and procedures about fitness to practise are made clear to students at the outset of their course and implemented effectively.

15. All decisions about an individual’s fitness to practise must be considered on a case-by-case basis.

16. Fitness to practise issues may arise prior to, as well as during, a programme of study. The standards of acceptable behaviour required of a student prior to and during their course may be different from those required of registered practitioners. Different standards of behaviour may also be required of students at different stages of their course. For example, a fitness to practise issue arising in Year 1, prior to any patient contact, may be treated differently from the same fitness to practise issue identified in the final year of education and training. A defined approach to the ways in which learning professional behaviour will be addressed during the course is important, to assist student understanding of professional requirements at different points in the course.

---

\(^5\) Available at: bit.ly/gosc-ops

\(^6\) The Guidance on Osteopathic Pre-registration Education supports the Osteopathic Practice Standards and provides a reference point for students, educational institutions, patients and others. Containing the outcomes that students are expected to demonstrate before they graduate, it is available at: bit.ly/gosc-gpre
17. In all cases, osteopathic educational institutions should only award a recognised qualification to students who are capable of practising to the competence, conduct and ethical standards set out in the Osteopathic Practice Standards. In cases where the required standards cannot be demonstrated, it may be appropriate to award an alternative qualification which does not have the status of a recognised qualification, and cannot lead to registration with the GOsC.

18. Matters that should be considered by the student fitness to practise procedures will include those that may affect:
   a. patient safety
   b. the trust that the public places in the profession.

Matters relevant to consideration may involve students at both pre-clinical and clinical stages of their programmes, and behaviours in both their professional and personal lives.

19. Student fitness to practise procedures should be used appropriately. The outcomes of a student fitness to practise hearing are solely about patient safety and the trust that the public places in the profession. As mentioned in paragraph 16, this does not mean that students in the pre-clinical phase of their training can escape fitness to practise proceedings, simply because they are not yet seeing patients. They will still be learning and practising osteopathic techniques on their colleagues, for example, and many will still spend time in their teaching clinic, even if as an observer. The educational institution will take into account the patients that the student is likely to see in the future.

20. The process and outcomes in student fitness to practise procedures should not be a punishment to the student. Matters that are unlikely to be appropriate to invoke student fitness to practise procedures include infrequent attendance at lectures, late submission of coursework, and inability (within the context of the expectations on students at that stage of their course) to meet a particular requirement of the Osteopathic Practice Standards in the first year of studies. It is also important to consider whether the issue raises concerns about health impairments or disability that may require reasonable adjustments. Equally, however, these patterns may be symptomatic of another problem which could be a fitness to practise issue. Further guidance about when student fitness to practise should be considered formally is set out in paragraph 50.
Learning professional behaviours

21. A continual dialogue about professionalism should run throughout osteopathic pre-registration education. Students should be supported to learn professional behaviours including appropriate knowledge, skills, attitudes and values, as well as techniques to evaluate the values, needs and wishes of patients. Teaching should also emphasise the importance of being aware of patient expectations, and the impact of behaviours on patients, staff and colleagues; it should focus on meeting the requirements of the Osteopathic Practice Standards and the outcomes set out in the Guidance on Osteopathic Pre-registration Education.

22. Fitness to practise policies are intended to ensure patient safety (including the safety of colleagues and staff, where appropriate). Fitness to practise is closely linked to professionalism. The most effective regulator of an individual’s fitness to practise is the individual. Professionals must take responsibility for their own fitness to practise and should refrain from practice, and be supported to do so, if they are unable to provide the required standard of care. They should also take steps to raise concerns about others where appropriate.

Boundaries

23. It is important that students are aware of the importance of maintaining appropriate boundaries with patients. They should be taught, at the earliest opportunity, about the dynamics of the therapeutic relationship and the vulnerability of patients.

24. Similarly, guidance should be given to osteopathic educational institutions’ staff and students regarding the appropriateness of personal relationships with students, and the potential issues that this may raise. Each institution will have its own processes and policies in this respect, although the issues of relationships based on the power difference between an authority figure such as an educator and what may be a vulnerable student will be largely consistent for each. Boundary issues might arise in relation to friendships and social relationships between staff and students, as well as with sexual relationships. Examples of behaviours that might give rise to concern would include:

• disclosing or asking for inappropriate personal information
• socialising with students
• holding study groups in the staff member’s home
• inappropriate social media contact with students for non-educational purposes.

25. Students should also be aware of the importance of maintaining boundaries with their colleagues during their training. In a course where there is often intimate contact with fellow students, the familiarity that develops can lead to (sometimes inadvertent) boundary...
transgressions. Guidance should be provided to students about this – and about practising osteopathic techniques and examination routines when away from the educational institution’s premises, where potential boundary issues can be even more evident.

26. Providing confidential support, guidance and teaching at an early stage may help students to develop individual insight about the impact of their behaviour on others and their responsibility for their fitness to practise. It may also assist in avoiding more serious problems later during the educational course, or later still when the individual is a practising osteopath. Particular examples to support students’ understanding of fitness to practise could include:

a. engaging with GOsC presentations, offered to all osteopathic educational institutions, about the requirements of the Osteopathic Practice Standards

b. using examples of poor social networking practice – such as the placing of inappropriate postings or photographs on social media – to demonstrate fitness to practise or professionalism issues

c. utilising examples of fitness to practise cases and working through the issues involved

d. including references to possible ethical, conduct or communication issues as an integral part of the teaching and learning process.

Raising concerns

27. Students should be made aware of their obligations to patients from an early stage of their course. If they have concerns about the behaviour of a student colleague or member of staff, they should be encouraged to raise these with the educational institution in accordance with a published policy on the raising of concerns. The institution should be mindful of the challenges that such a situation can pose to students who raise concerns, and provide appropriate support. It is important to establish and maintain a culture whereby students feel able to raise concerns in this way.

Duty of candour

28. In 2014, the GOsC and other regulators issued a joint statement regarding a duty of candour to patients when something goes wrong with their treatment or care, or has the potential to cause harm or distress. This will apply to all healthcare professionals, although it is recognised that the context will differ considerably for different professions. For students, the duty of candour, will involve being open and honest with teaching staff, as well as with patients, when something goes wrong. Educational institutions should be clear about how the duty of candour applies to students, and support them in engaging with this.
Student fitness to practise policies and procedures

29. Every osteopathic educational institution should ensure that the General Osteopathic Council (GOsC) guidance on student fitness to practise – together with any guidance issued by the institution itself, including its student fitness to practise policies, statements and procedures – are published and made available to students, prospective students and staff.

Admissions

30. Prior to admission, applicants may seek advice about undertaking an osteopathic recognised qualification from the osteopathic educational institutions and eventually registering with the GOsC. Educational institutions should allow for potential applicants to discuss their application and receive guidance about the Osteopathic Practice Standards. Applicants should also be aware of the Quality Assurance Agency’s Subject Benchmark Statement: Osteopathy and the GOsC’s Guidance on Osteopathic Pre-Registration Education, which set out competence standards that can help them to make an appropriate application.

31. When considering an application, osteopathic educational institutions should take into account that they are aiming to produce graduates ‘able to demonstrate the qualities of an autonomous patient-focused practitioner who is competent, caring, empathetic, trustworthy, professional, confident, self-aware and inquiring, and who has a high level of practical skills and problem solving ability’.

32. Osteopathic educational institutions should also have in place robust criteria, based on principles of public protection, for dealing with any issues relating to professional requirements that are revealed by applications or supporting documentation such as enhanced Disclosure and Barring Scheme (DBS) checks and regular self-declarations.

33. Health Education England has undertaken a major project in developing a framework in relation to values based recruitment in the NHS, and educational institutions may find the resources on its website useful. The differences between recruitment of students to osteopathy programmes and recruitment to healthcare professions in the NHS is acknowledged. However, the values developed by the NHS could apply equally in other healthcare professions, and it is helpful to see the strategies employed in order to ensure that recruits to healthcare programmes in the NHS have values consistent with those of the organisation as a whole.

Health impairments and disability

34. Like all healthcare regulators, the GOsC is keen to promote the full participation of people with disabilities or health conditions in the health professions by removing common fears about regulatory processes, helping all involved

---

11 Available at: bit.ly/gosc-ops
12 Available at: bit.ly/qaa-benchmark-osteopathy
13 Available at: bit.ly/gosc-gopre
14 Quality Assurance Agency, Subject Benchmark Statement: Osteopathy, clause 4.2.
15 See www.hee.nhs.uk/work-programmes/values-based-recruitment/
in osteopathy to understand better how practice can be managed to meet the required standards\(^\text{16}\).

\textbf{35.} The GOsC has also published booklets about the management of disability or health impairments to support students and osteopathic educational institutions: \textit{Students with a Disability or Health condition: Guidance for Osteopathic Educational Institutions}\(^\text{17}\) and \textit{Guidance for Applicants and Students with a Disability or Health condition}\(^\text{18}\). These emphasise educational institutions’ legal responsibilities to support students and to make reasonable adjustments in order to support students in achieving standards. The General Medical Council has published guidance, \textit{Gateways to the Professions}\(^\text{19}\), which may also provide a useful resource to educational institutions.

\textbf{36.} The GOsC guidance provides osteopathic educational institutions with a consistent framework and examples for successfully making reasonable adjustments for students with particular health conditions or disabilities. It also helps educational institutions to make decisions about admissions where matters related to health and disability are considered. Institutions should encourage applicants with disabilities or health impairments to read the guidance, and enter into discussions with them about a career in osteopathy.

\textbf{37.} As part of the admissions process, the osteopathic educational institution will assess whether students have the knowledge, skills and attributes for entry to the course, the capacity and capability to enable prospective students to meet the competence standards at the end of the programme, and the potential to enter unsupervised, independent and safe practice (allowing for any reasonable adjustments where appropriate).

\textbf{38.} During a Recognised Qualification course, a disability, health condition or other impairment may make it impossible for a student to meet the requirements set out in the \textit{Osteopathic Practice Standards} without assistance. They should be offered the opportunity to have a full discussion about the types of reasonable adjustments that may enable them to reach the required standards during their education; they should also have the opportunity to discuss the strategies that they will need to employ after registration to self-manage their disability or health condition and ensure safe practice. These discussions should take place as early in the process as possible. Osteopathic educational institutions must make reasonable adjustments for such students, to enable them to meet the competence standards if this is possible. Reasonable adjustments should not be made to the standards themselves, but to the method of learning and the way in which the student is assessed against the requirements.

\textbf{39.} If, following discussions between an osteopathic educational institution and a student, it appears that no reasonable adjustments can be made that will enable the student to meet the required \textit{Osteopathic Practice Standards}, further options need to be considered. It would be rare for such discussions to lead to a formal fitness to practise hearing; however, this course of action may be indicated if all avenues have been explored, and a way forward cannot be mutually agreed.


\textsuperscript{17} Available at: https://bit.ly/2qWUALK

\textsuperscript{18} Available at: http://bit.ly/2qWUALK

\textsuperscript{19} Available at: www.gmc-uk.org/education/undergraduate/gateways_guidance.asp
Previous convictions and cautions

40. In making a decision about whether previous conduct or convictions may call into question the applicant’s fitness to practise and their ability to enter and complete a recognised qualification, osteopathic educational institutions should take into account their own guidance, as well as any guidance available from their validating university (where this is separate) and any other relevant organisation such as the GOsC. Institutions are required to have explicit processes in place to implement the guidance effectively.

41. Each case must be considered on its individual circumstances. In order to enable a balanced decision to be made, it is important that all available information can be considered by the osteopathic educational institution. This means that the admissions process must encourage and support applicants to disclose all relevant information to the institution, and to consent to the disclosure of further information from other agencies where appropriate.

42. It would normally be expected that prospective students who have certain types of convictions would be denied access to a recognised qualification programme on the grounds of patient safety. This might apply to people who, for example, have:

- committed serious sexual or violent offences, leading to convictions that merited a custodial sentence
- been barred from working with children on any official list
- been barred from working with vulnerable groups, under disclosure and barring schemes both within and outside the UK.

43. The osteopathic educational institution must take a decision about whether fitness to practise would continue to be impaired in all circumstances. Matters requiring serious consideration include:

- dishonesty, fraud deceit or misrepresentation
- drug or alcohol dependency
- abuse of trust or other inappropriate behaviour with vulnerable persons
- breach of confidentiality
- threats to public health, safety or welfare
- blatant disregard for the law or the system of registration
- unlawful discrimination, harassment or victimisation, contrary to the requirements of the Equality Act 2010.

44. In making such a decision, the osteopathic educational institution should consider the following factors:

a. What are the circumstances leading to this conviction?
b. How long is it since the offending behaviour took place?
c. How serious are the circumstances relating to the conviction?
d. Is this person barred from working with children or adults in any jurisdiction or on any official list?
e. Does the person have insight into the circumstances leading to the conviction?
f. What remedial actions have they taken?
g. Does the evidence indicate that patients are still at risk with this person?
h. Will patient wellbeing be assured with this person?
i. Will the trust that the public places in the profession be affected by the admission of this person to an osteopathic training course, subsequently leading to a recognised qualification and GOsC registration (subject to statutory health and character requirements)?
Pastoral care and student support

45. Once on a recognised qualification programme, students should have opportunities to learn professional behaviour, and should be actively encouraged to seek support for any matter before it becomes a fitness to practise concern.

46. Where issues of patient safety arise, this must be communicated to the relevant person with accountability for fitness to practise issues so that the matter can be dealt with formally and in accordance with established procedures, to ensure that patient safety and wellbeing is protected. However, the student should still be offered support alongside and independent from the fitness to practise procedures. Osteopathic educational institutions should encourage an environment where speaking up is encouraged and supported, in the event that any practice or behaviour is felt to compromise an individual’s fitness to practise or patient safety.

If a recognised qualification cannot be awarded

47. Osteopathic educational institutions should consider their approach to students who cannot be awarded a recognised qualification because of fitness to practise issues. They should explore, where appropriate, alternative routes that can be made available to students in this situation; these might include the award of a qualification which is not a recognised one, and therefore does not lead to registration with the GOsC.

---

Case example

20 A 25-year-old applicant admits that he served a six-month sentence for burglary when aged 18. He is very open about the circumstances of this, and how he had been disengaged from education when at school, and fallen in with a group who had encouraged criminal behaviour. He reports that his sentence was the shock that he needed, and he did much reflection on his attitude while in prison. On his release, he returned to education, and has taken 2 A levels. He has been working for a charity helping in the resettlement of ex-prisoners, and has developed a strong ambition to become an osteopath, having received some treatment in the past following a back injury.

The osteopathic educational institution is happy to offer him a place. He is candid about his past behaviour, and demonstrates considerable self-awareness as to the circumstances of his conviction. He has shown no return to criminal activity since his release over six years previously, and has demonstrated a commitment to gaining his A levels, and to his work for a charity. It is felt that his past conviction will have no bearing on his current fitness to practise.
The threshold of student fitness to practise

48. Students are not yet practising osteopaths. They are under an obligation to adhere to the Osteopathic Practice Standards, but at a standard appropriate to their level of training at the time, when treating patients under supervision. This is to ensure that their behaviour does not affect the trust that the public places in healthcare practitioners.

49. Osteopathic educational institutions should make a judgement about whether issues that arise can be dealt with as part of remediation during the course, or whether formal fitness to practise proceedings should be considered. In part, this judgement will depend on the matter in question, and the stage of training the student is at. Patient safety and public trust in the profession will be affected by both criteria. This judgement may also be made at the conclusion of the investigation stage set out in paragraphs 53-64 of this guidance.

50. In determining whether any one-off event or pattern of conduct affects fitness to practise, the following questions may be considered:
   a. How serious is the behaviour?
   b. Was this a one-off incident, or representative of a pattern of behaviour?
   c. What is the level of maturity and insight demonstrated by the student?
   d. What is the likelihood of repeat behaviour?
   e. What stage of the course is the student undertaking? Are they in the first year, for example, or in their final clinical year, approaching graduation?
   f. How well might the student respond to support and remediation?

51. If particular behaviour or other issues are dealt with through remediation, a record should be made. This is to ensure that any patterns of behaviour are identified and addressed prior to graduation. In certain circumstances, it may be appropriate to pass such information onto the General Osteopathic Council.

52. At the end of the course, the student will normally be awarded the recognised qualification. This means that they are able to practise in accordance with the Osteopathic Practice Standards in force at the time. If the issue identified could affect this judgement, the formal student fitness to practise procedures should be invoked.

---

21 Available at: bit.ly/gosc-ops
22 Data protection legislation only allows this type of information to be passed to a third party with the consent of the student. It may be appropriate for osteopathic educational institutions to consider whether all students should be asked to consent to the disclosure of such information as a condition of admission, to reinforce the importance of patient safety.
The investigation process

53. Once proceedings have been instigated, a fair, transparent and published procedure should be followed to ensure consistency for all and a common approach to exploring fitness to practise issues. This procedure and timeframes should be clear to both the student and those involved in the fitness to practise proceedings, and students should be kept up to date on the progress of their case. The process should be consistent with that expected by the validating university (if separate from the osteopathic educational institution), and with the principles in this guidance.

54. Independent support should be signposted to the student.

55. The role of the investigator should be undertaken by a suitably qualified and independent person, in accordance with the procedures laid down by the osteopathic educational institution.

The role of the investigator

56. The role of the investigator is to collate and present the evidence, in order to inform a decision as to whether the student’s fitness to practise is impaired. The investigator should be independent of the fitness to practise panel that will make a decisions, and should not be the student’s personal tutor (or similar) or otherwise involved in supporting the student.

57. The investigator should keep a full record of the investigation, which should be carried out in a proportionate manner, having regard to the interests of patients and the public, and also the student.

58. In considering the presentation of evidence, the investigator may consider the following questions:
   a. Has the student’s behaviour harmed patients (including colleagues and staff) or put them at risk of harm?
   b. Has the student shown a deliberate or reckless disregard of professional and clinical responsibilities towards patients or colleagues?
   c. Has the student abused a patient’s trust or violated a patient’s autonomy or other fundamental rights?
   d. Has the student shown a deliberate and reckless disregard to the processes for the delivery of osteopathic care or put the reputation of the osteopathic educational institution, clinic or other setting at risk?
   e. Has the student behaved dishonestly, fraudulently, or in a way designed to mislead or harm others?
   f. Is the student’s health or disability, or their management of this, compromising patient safety?

59. If the answer to any of the questions above is ‘yes’, it would normally be appropriate to present the evidence to a fitness to practise panel.

60. The investigator may also consider the following questions:
   a. Has the student shown insight into the behaviour? When did the student show insight into the behaviour? Has the student considered appropriate actions or developmental behaviour to address the issues raised?
   b. Are there mitigating circumstances that contributed to the fitness to practise issue, and which have been recognised? Has the possibility been considered that a reasonable adjustment may be required? Have steps been taken to seek additional support in these circumstances?
61. The investigator should maintain records of the investigation, including records of complaints, notes of meetings held, interviews and statements. A written report should be produced which provides the results of the investigation, and which details all of the evidence gathered.

62. The investigator should present their findings to an individual or committee, in accordance with the processes of the osteopathic educational institution. If that individual or committee considers, in the light of the investigator’s report, that the student’s behaviour is serious or persistent enough to call their fitness to practise into question, the case should be referred to a fitness to practise panel.

63. The relevant individual or committee may determine that there is insufficient evidence to call into question the student’s fitness to practise. In these circumstances, it may be felt that additional measures are required to ensure the student is able to address the issues that prompted the investigation, and the student and all relevant teaching staff will need to be informed of these. It is important to ensure that all serious matters reaching the threshold of student fitness to practise (see paragraphs 48-52) are considered through the fitness to practise procedures, in the light of the implications for patient safety.

**Interim suspension**

64. At the outset of the investigation, it may be necessary to consider suspending the student from patient contact or from the course while the investigation is ongoing. This may be necessary to protect patients, colleagues or the student. Osteopathic educational institutions should make sure the decision is proportionate, fair and re-evaluated on a regular basis.
The adjudication process: the fitness to practise panel

65. The fitness to practise panel should not include the nominated investigator. It may be beneficial for the panel to include staff from other osteopathic educational institutions, to help to demonstrate an objective consideration of the evidence. A mix of professional, educational and lay expertise will normally be appropriate. All members of the panel should be familiar with this guidance, local guidance, and the General Osteopathic Council’s guidance on the management of students with disability and health conditions and equality and diversity issues.

66. The fitness to practise panel should ensure that the student is given adequate notice regarding the date, time and location of the fitness to practise hearing, and should provide the student with information about how proceedings will run. The student should be given the opportunity to collect any necessary evidence, including medical evidence, where relevant. The student should also have the opportunity to attend the hearing with an independent, knowledgeable and objective supporter. The student should have an outline of the allegations and the evidence to be presented at the earliest opportunity, so they can prepare for the hearing. Steps must be taken to explore whether reasonable adjustments may be required by the student to attend the panel hearing.

67. The fitness to practise panel will hear the evidence from the investigator and from the student. It will then make a decision about whether fitness to practise is impaired. If fitness to practise is impaired, the panel should allow the student to present mitigation. The panel will then consider sanctions.

68. The fitness to practise panel should:
   a. consider evidence presented by the investigator
   b. consider evidence presented by the student
   c. decide whether fitness to practise is impaired by reference to the balance between patient and public safety, the interests of the student and the need to maintain trust in the profession
   d. consider mitigation presented
   e. decide on the appropriate sanction.
Outcomes of student fitness to practise hearings

69. The outcomes of a student fitness to practise hearing are solely about patient safety, the wellbeing of the public and the trust that the public places in the profession. The outcomes should not be a punishment to the student.

70. Graduates must disclose all sanctions imposed as a result of fitness to practise hearings to the General Osteopathic Council (GOsC) as part of the application for registration.

71. Students must also consent to disclosure of the student fitness to practise sanctions by the osteopathic educational institution to other personnel where required for the purposes of patient safety, and also to the GOsC. For example, depending on the circumstances, it will normally be appropriate for those supervising students to be aware of any student fitness to practise sanctions, for the purpose of protecting patients, colleagues or staff.

72. Osteopathic educational institutions must report student fitness to practise cases to the GOsC as part of their Annual Report. They should also report details of individual students who have been subject to student fitness to practise procedures.

73. The possible outcomes of a student fitness to practise hearing include:
   a. Fitness to practise is not impaired and no case to answer.
   b. Evidence of misconduct but fitness to practise is not currently impaired.
   c. The student’s fitness to practise is judged to be impaired and they receive a formal sanction. Beginning with the least severe, the sanctions are:
      i. formal warning
      ii. undertaking
      iii. conditions
      iv. suspension from the osteopathic course or parts of it
      v. expulsion from the osteopathic course.

74. The purpose of imposing a sanction is to protect patients and the public, to maintain trust in the profession, and to ensure that students whose fitness to practise is impaired are dealt with effectively through close monitoring or even removal from their course if necessary. Generally, students should be given the opportunity to learn from their mistakes.

75. Panels should consider whether the sanction will protect patients and the public, and maintain professional standards.

76. It is important that, when a panel decides to impose a sanction, it:
   • makes clear in its determination that it has considered all the options
   • explains why it considers its determination to be an appropriate and proportionate response
   • gives clear reasons, including any mitigating or aggravating factors that influenced its decision, for imposing a particular sanction
   • where appropriate, includes a separate explanation as to why a particular length of sanction was considered necessary.

Formal warnings

77. A warning allows the osteopathic educational institution to indicate to a student that their behaviour represents a departure from the standards expected of osteopathic students and should not
be repeated. It is a formal response in the interests of maintaining professional values and behaviours, underlining the importance of patient safety. There should be adequate support for the student to address any underlying problems that may have contributed to their poor behaviour.

78. The formal recording of warnings allows the osteopathic educational institution to identify any repeat behaviour and to take appropriate action. Any breach of a warning may be taken into account by a panel in relation to a future case against the student, as it may demonstrate a pattern of behaviour with particular implications for their fitness to practise. The warning should remain on the student’s record, and the student must be aware of their responsibilities to disclose the warning when applying to the GOsC for registration. Usually, the GOsC will not take further action if the matter is known to have been dealt with at the educational institution. However, if the information is not disclosed, this in itself could raise concerns about registration which will need to be investigated further.

79. The fitness to practise panel may want to consider the following questions when deciding whether it is appropriate to issue a warning:
   a. Is there evidence that the student may pose a danger to patients (including fellow students and staff) or the public? If so, a warning is unlikely to be appropriate.
   b. Has the student behaved unprofessionally?
   c. Has the student shown insight into the behaviour and the impact of the behaviour?
   d. Does the student’s behaviour raise concerns, but falls short of indicating that the student is currently not fit to practise (although they may have been in the immediate past)?
   e. Are the concerns sufficiently serious that, if there were a repetition, it would likely result in a finding of impaired fitness to practise? The panel will need to consider the degree to which the concern could affect patient safety and public confidence in the profession.

Undertakings

80. In particular circumstances, the fitness to practise panel may agree an undertaking with the student concerned, and agree to halt further proceedings while the undertaking is in place. Undertakings can be helpful where both the educational institution and the student agree that fitness to practise may be impaired and agree on how patient safety can be assured moving forward.

81. An undertaking is an agreement between the student and the osteopathic educational institution, where there is an explicit acknowledgement that the student’s fitness to practise may be impaired. This agreement can usually be taken forward before or instead of a formal fitness to practise hearing or determination.

82. Undertakings may include restrictions on the student’s clinical practice or behaviour, or a commitment to undergo medical supervision or remedial teaching. As with conditions (see paragraphs 86-90), they are likely to be appropriate if the concerns about the student’s fitness to practise are such that a period of remedial teaching or supervision, or both, is likely to be the best way to address them.

83. Undertakings will only be appropriate if there is reason to believe that the student will comply – for example, because the student has shown genuine insight into their problems and the impact that the behaviour has had or could have had on patients, colleagues and staff.
The student should also demonstrate potential for remediation. The panel may wish to see evidence that the student has taken responsibility for their own actions, and where necessary taken steps to improve their behaviour.

84. When considering whether to invite the student to accept undertakings, the panel should consider whether:
   a. undertakings appear to offer sufficient safeguards to protect patients and the public, other students and staff
   b. the student has demonstrated sufficient insight, including an understanding of the impact of the behaviour.

85. in the event that an undertaking is not suitable or appropriate, the fitness to practise panel should reconvene in accordance with the framework and guidelines in place.

Conditions

86. Placing conditions on the student’s continued participation in the programme is appropriate when there is significant concern about the behaviour or health of the student, following a finding that their fitness to practise is impaired. This sanction should be applied only if the panel is satisfied that the student might respond positively to remedial tuition and increased supervision, and has displayed insight into their problems. The panel should consider any evidence such as reports on the student’s performance, health, behaviour, and any other mitigating circumstances.

87. The objectives of any conditions should be made clear so that the student knows what is expected of them, and so that a panel at a future review can identify the original shortcomings and the proposals for their correction. Any conditions should be appropriate, proportionate, workable and measurable, and should set a specific time for review of progress.

88. Before imposing conditions, the panel should satisfy themselves that:
   a. the behaviour can be improved by setting conditions as part of an action plan
   b. the objectives of the conditions are clear
   c. any future review of the action plan will be able to decide whether the objective has been achieved, and whether patients will still be at risk
   d. the additional resources required to supervise the student under conditions are in place or will be made available.

89. Although this list is not exhaustive, conditions may be appropriate when most or all of the following factors are apparent:
   • The student has shown sufficient insight, and there is no evidence that they are inherently incapable of following good practice and professional values.
   • There is no evidence of harmful, deep-seated personality or attitudinal problems.
   • There are identifiable areas of the student’s studies in need of further assessment or remedial action.
   • There is potential for remediation to be successful.
   • The student is willing to respond positively to support and conditions.
   • The student is willing to be honest and open with patients, colleagues and supervisors if things go wrong.
   • Patients (including colleagues and staff) will not be put in danger either directly or indirectly as a result of the conditions.
   • It is possible to formulate appropriate and practical conditions which can be verified and monitored, and which will protect patients during the time they are in force.
90. If, in relation to the management of health impairments or disability, reasonable adjustments have failed because of ‘non-compliance’ behaviour but there is genuine willingness to manage the health impairment and the student has agreed to abide by conditions relating to, for example, medical condition, treatment and supervision, it may be appropriate to agree further reasonable adjustments and impose conditions regarding behaviour.

**Suspension from the course**

91. Suspension prevents a student from continuing with their course for a specified period, and from graduating at the expected time. Suspension is appropriate for patient safety concerns that are serious enough to require suspension while remediation is undertaken. It should be imposed where conditions are not workable, and the opportunity to remediate deficiencies or recover from illness, for example, is best achieved outside the course environment.

92. Examples of conduct that might merit a suspension include students who are in the process of demonstrating that they have recovered from an addiction.

93. When students return from suspension, there should be an appropriate review to enable progress to be considered as part of a discussion. During the discussion, evidence of remedial action taken by the student, specific to their case, during the period of suspension would usually be considered. This might include, for example, further evidence of reflection and learning such as a reflective essay or other set work demonstrating understanding of why the suspension was necessary and why the student feels that they can return; it might also include medical and therapeutic reports if appropriate. In cases of substance misuse, a medical and therapeutic report will almost always be required before a student can return to clinical practice under supervision. If progress has been made and patient safety can be assured, further conditions for a period of time with an appropriate review may be agreed (see paragraphs 86-90).

94. Although this list is not exhaustive, suspension may be appropriate when some or all of the following factors are apparent:

- The breach of professional values is serious, but is not fundamentally incompatible with the student continuing on the course. Remediation is possible, but suspension is necessary for patient safety reasons.
- There is potential for remediation while the student is suspended.
- The student’s judgement may be impaired and there is a risk to patient safety if the student is allowed to continue on the course, even with conditions.
- There is no evidence that the student is inherently incapable of following good practice and professional values.
- The panel is satisfied the student has insight and is not likely to repeat the behaviour.
- There will be appropriate support for the student when returning to the course.

**Expulsion from the course**

95. The fitness to practise panel can make a recommendation to the osteopathic educational institution to expel a student if they consider that this is the only way to protect patients, fellow students, staff, and others. The student should be helped to transfer to another course if appropriate; however, the nature of the student’s behaviour may mean that they should not be accepted on clinically related courses, or on any other course.

96. Expulsion is the most severe sanction and should be applied only if the student’s behaviour is considered to be fundamentally incompatible with continuing on an osteopathic course or eventually practising as an osteopath.
Although this list is not exhaustive, expulsion may be appropriate when a student:

- has seriously departed from the principles set out in the *Osteopathic Practice Standards* and in this guidance
- has behaved in a way that is fundamentally incompatible with being an osteopath
- has shown a reckless disregard for patient safety
- has done serious harm to others, patients or otherwise, either deliberately or through incompetence, particularly when there is a continuing risk to patients
- has abused their position of trust
- has violated a patient’s rights or exploited a vulnerable person
- has committed offences of a sexual nature, including involvement in child pornography
- has committed offences involving violence
- has been dishonest, including covering up their actions, especially when the dishonesty has been persistent
- has put their own interests before those of patients
- has persistently shown a lack of insight into the seriousness of their actions or the consequences
- shows no potential for remediation.

**Discontinuation on health grounds**

97. Discontinuation on health grounds may be necessary where no reasonable adjustments can be made that would enable a student to meet or continue to meet the competence standards. However, this would only be following consultation with the student and once all reasonable adjustments had been considered.
In order to be registered with the General Osteopathic Council (GOsC), individuals are required to:

a. hold a recognised qualification – this shows that the holder is capable of practice in accordance with the competence, conduct and ethical standards set out in the Osteopathic Practice Standards
b. satisfy the Registrar that they are of good character (see paragraphs 104-108)
c. satisfy the Registrar that they are of good health (see paragraphs 100-103)
d. pay the prescribed fee
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e. ensure that professional indemnity insurance is in place prior to commencing practice
f. undertake continuing professional development, following an initial exemption for 10 months for new graduates registering shortly after graduation.

The award of a recognised qualification indicates that the osteopathic educational institution regards the graduate as being capable as practising in accordance with the Osteopathic Practice Standards. However, if additional information, not known to the educational institution, is discovered about the health or character of the applicant between the award of the recognised qualification and the application for registration, the Registrar will consider this information separately in the light of the current standards of conduct and competence set out in the Osteopathic Practice Standards.

The statutory requirement of good health

100. The Osteopaths Act 1993 and associated rules require applicants for registration to provide evidence of good physical and mental health. In the context of osteopathic practice, this simply means that the osteopath is able to practise in accordance with the requirements laid out in the Osteopathic Practice Standards.

101. The application for registration requires applicants to outline any medical problems that may prevent them from practising osteopathy.

102. The application for registration also requests a health reference from a doctor. If the applicant is unable to obtain a reference from a doctor, they should seek advice from the GOsC.

103. The Registrar’s duty is to ensure that applicants are able to practise in accordance with the requirements of the Osteopathic Practice Standards, taking into account the relevant equality and human rights legislation. The Registrar may seek any additional evidence to ensure that this duty is complied with.

The statutory requirement of good character

104. The Osteopaths Act 1993 and associated rules require applicants for registration to provide evidence of ‘good character’.

105. The rules require that, as part of the application for registration, the applicant declares:

- any criminal charges or convictions
- whether they have been a party to any civil proceedings
- whether they have been removed from any other professional or regulatory Register.

Requirements a-d are set out in Section 3 of the Osteopaths Act 1993.
106. This means that applicants must disclose all convictions, cautions, reprimands, and final warnings. All students are required to have an enhanced Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check as part of their application for registration. In the event that an enhanced DBS check discloses cautions or convictions that have not been declared, applicants are requested to explain in writing the circumstances that led to their being cautioned. This information will then be considered further by the Registrar before a decision about registration is made.

107. Applicants are also required to provide a character reference. This should be from a person of professional standing who has known them for at least four years.

108. The Registrar’s duty is to ensure that applicants are able to practise in accordance with the requirements of the Osteopathic Practice Standards, taking into account the relevant equality and human rights legislation. The Registrar may seek any additional evidence in respect of good character to ensure that this duty is complied with.