

Osteopathic Practice Committee 25 June 2014 Scrutiny/Risk Register							
Classification	Public						
Purpose	For discussion						
Issue	Council has recommended that the two policy Committees should be familiar with the GOsC's high- level Risk Register so that members can consider their responsibilities for scrutinising activities contained within it.						
Recommendation	To provide feedback on assurance mechanisms described in the Risk Register at the Annex.						
Financial and resourcing implications	None						
Equality and diversity implications	None						
Communications implications	None						
Annex	Risk Register March 2014						
Author	Tim Walker						

Background

- 1. The GOsC's Risk register is kept up to date by the Senior Management Team and reviewed by Council every six months. It is also reviewed by the Audit Committee at each of its meetings.
- 2. The Risk Register, which is annexed to this paper, has been revised recently and now includes a column describing the assurance mechanisms that exist in respect of each risk. The assurance mechanisms include Council and Committee oversight.

Discussion

- 3. At its last meeting Council considered the new Risk Register and recommended that the Education and Registration Standards and Osteopathic Practice Committees should consider the Register in relation to their own roles.
- 4. The Committee is invited to review the assurance mechanism column in the Risk Register and consider whether in relation to the risks and mitigating actions described, whether the assurance mechanisms are operating appropriately. In doing so, the Committee may wish to consider the following questions:
 - a. Does the Committee have adequate oversight of the mitigating actions described?
 - b. Are there any areas where Council oversight is the assurance mechanism where the Committee might provide additional supportive assurance or advice?
 - c. Are there any areas where the Committee considers it might be desirable to receive additional assurance (within its terms of reference) but where this is currently missing?
- 5. Feedback from members at this meeting will be used to inform future agenda planning for the Committee and amendments to the Risk Register.

Recommendation: to provide feedback on assurance mechanisms described in the Risk Register at the Annex.

Risk register – March 2014

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
1.1 Pre- registration education and training	 Quality of initial education and training falls below required standards 	External	1x2=L	\checkmark	 Quality Assurance process Training and appraisal of assessors Ongoing engagement with OEIs 	 ERSC oversight of QA reports, Annual Reports ESRC Chair appraisal of assessors
	• Initial education does not reflect current healthcare practice and expectations	External	2x2=M		Development of new guidanceOngoing engagement with OEIs	ERSC oversight of activity
	 OEI graduates do not show exhibit appropriate behaviours and values 	External	2x2=M		 Student fitness to practise work Professionalism work Ongoing engagement with OEIs 	ERSC oversight of activity
	Course or institution ceases to function	External	3x1=M		Ongoing engagement with OEIs	ERSC oversight of Annual Reports and relationships with OEIs
1.2 Confidence in the register	 Registration data is inaccurate or individuals are wrongly registered 	Internal	2x2=M	\checkmark	 Registration manual Good character assessment framework Data quality checks Improvements to online tools Review of EU/international registration 	 ERSC oversight of activity Internal audit reports to Audit Committee
	 Register is not effectively used by patients or promoted by registrants 	External	2x1=L		Improvements to register functionalityPromoting your registration campaign	Council oversight via Communications Annual Report
	Illegal practice goes unchecked or increases	Internal	1x2=L	\checkmark	Enforcement policyPublicity around prosecutions	Council oversight of Regulation reports and dashboard

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
	 Registration assessments do not prevent registration of ineligible applicants 	Internal	1x2=L	\checkmark	Training and appraisal of assessors	ESRC Chair appraisal of assessors
1.3 Transition into practice	 New graduates are unable to meet ongoing standards for registration 	External	2x2=M		 Quality Assurance process Ongoing engagement with OEIs Mentoring project 	 ERSC oversight of QA reports Council oversight of ODG activity
	 Lack of support for improved mentoring among registrants 	External	2x1=L		Engagement with OEIs, regional groups and others in profession	Council oversight of ODG activity
1.4 Continuing fitness to practise	 Registrants fail to engage with proposed process 	External	2x2=M		 Communication and engagement activity 'Pathfinder' groups 	Council oversight of CFtP process
(revalidation)	 Profession lacks capacity to implement new proposals 	External	2x2=M		 Communication and engagement activity 'Pathfinder' groups Dialogue with regional groups, OEIs and other bodies 	Council oversight of CFtP process
	 Unable to obtain PSA/DH buy-in to proposals 	External	2x2=M		Engagement with key organisationsEffectiveness of regulation research	Council oversight of CFtP process
	 Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	Internal	2x2=M		Use of reserves for set up costsBudget strategy and reserves policy	Council oversight of CFtP process
1.5 Fitness to practise	 Legal challenges to ftp and/or registration processes 	External	3x1=M	\checkmark	 Quality Assurance process Training for non-execs and staff Registration manual FtP and registration reports to Council 	 Council oversight of Regulation and registration reports and dashboard PSA audits
	 Complaint progression is not effective or timely 	Internal	2x2=M	\checkmark	 Quality Assurance process Registration manual FtP and registration reports to Council 	Council oversight of Regulation and registration reports and dashboard

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
	Complaint volumes exceed resource capacity	External/ Internal	2x2=M	\checkmark	Financial reserves available to meet any surge	Council and Audit Committee oversight
2.1 Continuing fitness to practise (CPD)	Registrants fail to engage with best practice	External	2x1=L		Communication and engagement activity	 ERSC/OPC and Council oversight
	 Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	Internal	2x1=L		Budget strategy and reserves policy	Council and Audit Committee oversight
2.2 Osteopathic practice standards	 Registrants fail to engage with standards 	External	2x2=M	\checkmark	 Communication and engagement activity Provision of learning resources Continuing fitness to practise development 	ERSC/OPC and Council oversight
	 Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	Internal	2x1=L		Budget strategy and reserves policy	Council and Audit Committee oversight
2.3 Quality and patient care	Partners unable to commit to required work or disengage with process	External	2x1=L		Engagement with partners	 Council oversight of ODG activity
	 Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	External/ Internal	1x1=L		 Budget strategy and reserves policy Engagement with partners 	 Council oversight of ODG activity
2.4 Professional standards and values	 Partners unable to commit to required work or disengage with process 	External	2x1=L		 Internal Francis programme board Engagement with partners 	Council oversight
	 Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	External/ Internal	1x1=L		Budget strategy and reserves policy Engagement with partners	Council oversight

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
3.1 Service quality	Operational activities subject to legal challenge	External	3x1=M	\checkmark	 Quality Assurance process Registration manual	 ERSC/OPC and Council oversight PSA audits
	Failure of IT infrastructure	External	2x3=H	\checkmark	 SLAs with IT providers and regular review meetings Maintenance and service contracts Business continuity planning 	Audit Committee oversightCouncil oversight
	Business continuity failure (non-IT)	External	1x3=M	\checkmark	 Business continuity planning Maintenance and service acticities 	Council oversight
	Failure to deal effectively with information governance requirements	Internal	2x2=M	~	 Information governance framework Training for staff Non-executive briefings 	Audit Committee oversight
	Loss of confidence in quality of service provision	External	1x3=M	\checkmark	Service standards and monitoringUser surveys	Council oversight
3.2 Engagement	Stakeholders fail to engage with activity	External	2x2=M		Communication and Engagement Strategy and Annual Report	Council oversight
	Inadequate resources available for current and future work	Internal	1x1=L		Budget strategy and reserves policy	Council oversight
3.3 Governance	Governance processes subject to legal challenge or complaints	External	2x2=M	\checkmark	 Governance handbook and policies Appointment processes Induction and training Council review of performance 	 RaAC oversight PSA oversight
	Loss of confidence in work of the GOsC	External	1x3=M	\checkmark	Performance evaluationEngagement with registrants	Council oversightPSA Performance Review

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
	Breakdown in internal financial controls	Internal	1x2=L	\checkmark	Internal financial controlsInformation governance framework	 External annual audit/Key Issues Memorandum Audit Committee oversight
	Failure to meet Equality Act or employment duties	Internal	1x2=L	\checkmark	 Equality and diversity policy and plan Dedicated HR resource and staff handbook 	 Council oversight of equality and diversity policy RaAC oversight of HR policies
	Adverse audit or Performance Review report from PSA	External	1x3=M	\checkmark	 Established internal Performance Review processes Internal audits of fitness to practise 	Council oversight of reports/ action plans
3.4 Value for money	Poor control of costs resulting in fee increases	Internal	1x3=M	\checkmark	Procurement rules and monitoring processesQuarterly financial updates	 Audit Committee Publication of contract data (new requirement in 2014 from ICO)
	Loss of confidence in financial management	Internal	1x2=L	\checkmark	Internal financial controlsQuarterly financial updatesAudit process	 External annual audit/Key Issues Memorandum Audit Committee
	PSA levy costs	External	2x2=M		 Budget strategy and reserves policy Engagement with PSA/Department of Health 	Council oversight of budget
3.5 Legislative reform	Inadequate resources available for future work	Internal	2x2=M		Use of reserves for legal support if necessary	Council oversight of budget
	Perverse consequences arising from legislation	External	3x2=H		Engagement with Law Commission, Department of Health and other regulators	 Oversight from Council working group on law reform (creation tbc)

Risk ratings

Lik	elihood	Impact		
1	Less likely than not to occur or not expected to occur	1	Single area of business subject to disruption	
2	May or may not occur	2	Disruption to whole business or single area unable to	
			function effectively	
3	Expected to occur or more likely than not to occur	3	Whole business unable to function effectively	

Risk level score (Likelihood x Impact)	Overall risk rating
1-2	Low
3-4	Medium
6-9	High