

Risk register – November 2014

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
1.1 Pre-registration education and training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality of initial education and training falls below required standards 	External	1x2=L	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality Assurance process Training and appraisal of assessors Ongoing engagement with OEIs Course closure review process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC oversight of QA reports, Annual Reports, closure plans ERSC biannual review of QAA process
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial education does not reflect current healthcare practice and expectations 	External	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development of new guidance Ongoing engagement with OEIs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC oversight of activity
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OEI graduates do not exhibit appropriate behaviours and values 	External	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student fitness to practise work Professionalism work Ongoing engagement with OEIs Professional values programme 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC oversight of activity
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Course or institution ceases to function 	External	3x1=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ongoing engagement with OEIs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC oversight of Annual Reports and relationships with OEIs
1.2 Confidence in the register	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration data is inaccurate or individuals are wrongly registered 	Internal	2x2=M	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration manual Good character assessment framework Data quality checks Improvements to online tools Review of EU/international registration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC oversight of activity Internal audit reports to Audit Committee
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Register is not effectively used by patients or promoted by registrants 	External	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvements to register functionality Promoting your registration campaign 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight via Communications Annual Report
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Illegal practice goes unchecked or increases 	Internal	1x2=L	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enforcement policy Publicity around prosecutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of Regulation reports and dashboard
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration assessments do not prevent registration of 	Internal	1x2=L	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training and appraisal of assessors Moderation meetings with GOsC staff 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC Chair appraisal of assessors

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
	ineligible applicants					
1.3 Transition into practice	• New graduates are unable to meet ongoing standards for registration	External	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality Assurance process Ongoing engagement with OEIs Mentoring project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC oversight of QA reports Council oversight of ODG activity
	• Lack of support for improved mentoring among registrants	External	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement with OEIs, regional groups and others in profession 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of ODG activity
1.4 Continuing fitness to practise (revalidation)	• Registrants fail to engage with proposed process	External	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication and engagement activity 'Pathfinder' groups 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OPC and Council oversight of CFtP process
	• Profession lacks capacity to implement new proposals	External	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication and engagement activity 'Pathfinder' groups Dialogue with regional groups, OEIs and other bodies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OPC and Council oversight of CFtP process
	• Unable to obtain PSA/DH buy-in to proposals	External	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement with key organisations Effectiveness of regulation research 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OPC and Council oversight of CFtP process
	• Inadequate resources available for current and future work	Internal	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of reserves for set up costs Budget strategy and reserves policy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OPC and Council oversight of CFtP process
1.5 Fitness to practise	• Legal challenges to ftp and/or registration processes	External	3x1=M	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality Assurance process Training for non-execs and staff Regulation and Registration manuals FtP and registration reports to Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of Regulation and registration reports and dashboard PSA audits
	• Complaint progression is not effective or timely	Internal	2x2=M	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality Assurance process Regulation and registration manuals FtP and Registration reports to Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of Regulation and registration reports and dashboard
	• Complaint volumes exceed resource capacity	External/Internal	2x2=M	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial reserves available to meet any surge Development of threshold criteria 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council and Audit Committee oversight
2.1 Continuing fitness to	• Registrants fail to engage with best	External	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication and engagement activity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC/OPC and Council oversight

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
practise (CPD)	practice					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	Internal	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget strategy and reserves policy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council and Audit Committee oversight
2.2 Osteopathic practice standards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registrants fail to engage with standards 	External	2x2=M	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication and engagement activity Provision of learning resources Continuing fitness to practise development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC/OPC and Council oversight
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	Internal	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget strategy and reserves policy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council and Audit Committee oversight
2.3 Quality and patient care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partners unable to commit to required work or disengage with process 	External	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement with partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of ODG activity
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	External/Internal	1x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget strategy and reserves policy Engagement with partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of ODG activity
2.4 Professional standards and values	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Partners unable to commit to required work or disengage with process 	External	2x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal Francis programme board Engagement with partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate resources available for current and future work 	External/Internal	1x1=L		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget strategy and reserves policy Engagement with partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight
3.1 Service quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operational activities subject to legal challenge 	External	3x1=M	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality Assurance process Registration manual 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ERSC/OPC and Council oversight PSA audits
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failure of IT infrastructure 	External	2x3=H	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SLAs with IT providers and regular review meetings Maintenance and service contracts Business continuity planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Audit Committee oversight Council oversight

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
	• Business continuity failure (non-IT)	External	1x3=M	✓	• Business continuity planning • Maintenance and service activities	• Council oversight • Audit Committee oversight
	• Failure to deal effectively with information governance requirements	Internal	2x2=M	✓	• Information governance framework • Training for staff • Non-executive briefings	• Audit Committee oversight
	• Loss of confidence in quality of service provision	External	1x3=M	✓	• Service standards and monitoring • User surveys	• Council oversight
3.2 Engagement	• Stakeholders fail to engage with activity	External	2x2=M		• Communication and Engagement Strategy and Annual Report	• Council oversight
	• Inadequate resources available for current and future work	Internal	1x1=L		• Budget strategy and reserves policy	• Council oversight
3.3 Governance	• Governance processes subject to legal challenge or complaints	External	2x2=M	✓	• Governance handbook and policies • Appointment processes • Induction and training • Council review of performance	• RaAC oversight • PSA oversight
	• Loss of confidence in work of the GOsC	External	1x3=M	✓	• Performance evaluation • Engagement with registrants	• Council oversight • PSA Performance Review
	• Breakdown in internal financial controls	Internal	1x2=L	✓	• Internal financial controls • Information governance framework	• External annual audit/Key Issues Memorandum • Audit Committee oversight
	• Failure to meet Equality Act or employment duties	Internal	1x2=L	✓	• Equality and diversity policy and plan • Dedicated HR resource and staff handbook	• Council oversight of equality and diversity policy • RaAC oversight of HR policies
	• Adverse audit or Performance Review report from PSA	External	1x3=M	✓	• Established internal Performance Review processes • Internal audits of fitness to practise	• Council oversight of reports/ action plans
3.4 Value for money	• Poor control of costs resulting in fee	Internal	1x3=M	✓	• Procurement rules and monitoring processes	• Audit Committee • Publication of contract data

Business Plan work stream	Risk description	Risk source	Risk rating LxI=R	Risk averse area	Mitigating actions	Assurance mechanisms
	increases				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly financial updates 	(new requirement in 2014 from ICO)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of confidence in financial management 	Internal	1x2=L	✓	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal financial controls Quarterly financial updates Audit process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> External annual audit/Key Issues Memorandum Audit Committee
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PSA levy costs 	External	3x1=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget strategy and reserves policy Engagement with PSA/Department of Health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of budget
3.5 Legislative reform	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inadequate resources available for future work 	Internal	2x2=M		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of reserves for legal support if necessary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight of budget
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inability to improve processes due to lack of new legislation 	External	3x2=H		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engagement with, Department of Health and other regulators 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council oversight

Risk ratings

Likelihood		Impact	
1	Less likely than not to occur or not expected to occur	1	Single area of business subject to disruption
2	May or may not occur	2	Disruption to whole business or single area unable to function effectively
3	Expected to occur or more likely than not to occur	3	Whole business unable to function effectively

Risk level score (Likelihood x Impact)	Overall risk rating
1-2	Low
3-4	Medium
6-9	High