Please note all figures in red relate to 2014-15

Efficiency

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Numbers of complaints received				
Informal	22	61	94	110*
	28	22	11	13
Formal	14	36	16	11
	15	9	17	7

^{*76} are GTS Advertising Complaints – 34 Non GTS complaints.

Performance against KPIs (median – receipt to decision in weeks)					
Screened (3 weeks)	1		2	1	1
	1		2	1	1
IC decisions (17 weeks)	14.	2	9	15	16
	10	5	14	15	11
PCC decisions (52 weeks)	25	*	40	46	60*
	55	5	47	37	51
HC decisions (52 weeks)	0	·	0	0	24*
	46	.5	0	0	53

*only 1 case was heard by the PCC and 1 by the HC during the period.

Investigating Committee				
Number of meetings	2	2	1	2
	3	2	2	2
Total number of decisions	6*	12	13	13
	8	18	6	19
Mean number of decisions per meeting	2.5	6	13	6.5
	2.6	9	3	9.5

^{*2} Rule 19 applications included

Professional Conduct Committee				
Number of substantive hearings	6*	5	7	1
*includes 2 R19 hearings	6	8	3	5
Mean length of hearing (days)	2	3.6	4.3	5
	3	2.6	3	4.4
Mean time PCC in camera to decide facts and		8.75	5.2	6
allegation (hours)	6.2	7	8.3	5.2
Mean time PCC in camera to decide sanction		2.5	3.2	5
(hours)	2.5	4.5	4	5

Effectiveness

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Investigating Committee				
Total cases considered	6	12	13	18
	8	18	6	19
No case to answer	1	0	4	3
	1	12	2	5
Referred to PCC	2	6	6	10
	3	2	3	11
Referred to HC	0	1	0	0
	2	2	0	0
Referred to PCC and HC	-	0	0	0
		1	0	0
Adjournment	1	5	3	5
	2	2	1	3
IC view on Rule 19	2	0	0	0
	0	1	0	0

Professional Conduct Committee (not including Rule 8 decisions)						
Total cases considered	4	5	9*	1		
	6	3	3	5		
Allegation not 'well founded'	0	2	1	0		
	3	3	0	1		
Admonished	0	1	1	0		
	1	0	1	2		
Conditions of Practice	0	0	1	0		
	0	0	1	0		
Suspension	1	1	1	0		
	0	2	0	0		
Removal	0	0	2	1		
	1	0	1	1		
Adjourned/Part heard	2	1	1	0		
	1	2	0	1		
Rule 19	1	0	0	0		
	0	1	0	0		

Case "pipe-line" as at 31 March 2016

		Over 4 months	Over 8 months	Over 12 months
Referred to Investigating Committee by Screener	16	4	0	0
Referred to Professional Conduct Committee by Investigating Committee but not yet heard	18 (15 out of 18 cases are listed)	7	8	0
Cases that need review hearings	4	0	0	0

There have been 6 Committee events taken place in this quarter. These events include substantive hearings, ISO hearings and IC meetings.

Receipt

Screened (3 weeks)

Investigating Committee (17 weeks)

Final hearing (52 weeks)

Please note all figures in red relate to 2014-15

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Health Committee				
	ı	ı	I	ı
Number of substantive hearings	1	0	0	1*
*Completion of a Part Heard Case	2	0	0	2
Mean length of hearing (days)	2.5	0	0	2
	0	0	0	2
Mean time HC in camera to decide facts and	5	0	0	
allegation (hours)	2	0	0	1.5
Mean time HC in camera to decide sanction	0	0	0	
(hours)	1.5	0	0	1

Rule 8				
Number of Rule 8 hearings	1	1	0	0
	1	0	0	0
Concluded with sanctions (admonishment)	1	1	0	0
	0	0	0	0

Protection of title				
Concerns received	16	15	14	17
	16	9	6	25
Ceases and desist letters sent	8	14	19	12
	10	2	2	11
Resolved	5	34	33	8
	2	2	0	8
Prosecuting commenced	0	0	1	0
	0	n	0	n

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
PCC Review Hearing				
Total cases considered	1	1	2	0
	0	2	2	0
Conditions of Practice Order	0	1	2	0
Revoked/ expire	0	1	2	0
Conditions of Practice Order	1	0	0	0
– Extended	0	1	0	0

Health Committee				
Total cases considered	1*	1*	0	0
	2	0	0	2
Conditions of Practice Order	0	0	0	0
	2	0	0	1

^{*}The case was adjourned.

Health Review Hearing				
Total Cases considered	0	3	0	0
Conditions	0	1	0	0 0
Condition revoked	0	1	0	0

Interim Suspension Orders IC				
Applied for	3	1	2	2
	3	3	4	4
Imposed	3	1	1	2
	3	1	1	0
Undertaking	0	0	0	0
	0	0	1	0

Please note all figures in red relate to 2014-15

Receipt

Screened (3 weeks)

Investigating Committee (17 weeks)

Final hearing (52 weeks)

Interim Suspension Orders PCC/HC					
Applied for	1	4	1	1	
	3	0	2	2	
Imposed	1	2	0	1	
	3	0	1	2	
Undertaking	0	1	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Information Governance				
FOI requests received	4	6	4	6
	5	1	1	0
Responded to within 20 days	4	6	4	5
	3	1	1	0
DPA request received	5	2	1	1
	1	0	1	1
Responded to within 40 days	4	2	1	1
	1	0	1	1

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Indicators that case properly brought and determined						
Successful application r27(2) ¹	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0		
Successful application r27(6) ²	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0		
Successful registrant appeal	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0		
Successful Judicial reviews	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0		
PSA s29 appeal	0	0	0	0		
	0	0	0	0		
PSA learning points	0	0	0	0		
	2	0	3	1		
Complaints	1	0	0	0		
	1	0	0	0		

Protection of title				
Convictions secured	0	0	0	1
	1	0	0	0

¹ GOSC (Professional Conduct Committee) (Procedure) Rules 2000 – application that alleged facts are insufficient to support the allegation.

² GOSC (Professional Conduct Committee) (Procedure) Rules 2000 – application at the close of Council's case that no sufficient evidence has been adduced upon which the PCC could find the alleged facts proved.