

Education and Registration Standards Committee

Registration activity report - additional statistics - sub analysed for AJW

1. The Education and Registration Standards Committee received a registration activity report at its meeting of 27 February 2014 which set out the consolidated results of registration data that had been collected across a two year period between 1 October 2011 and 30 September 2013.
2. Members requested that one specific section - Removals from the Register - be sub-analysed in order for some additional information to be ascertained around the demographics of those who had been removed. This report provides that sub-analysis.
3. There were 60 registrants removed from the Register between 1 October 2011 and 30 September 2013. There was an almost equal split between those removed for non-payment of the registration fee and non-compliance with the CPD scheme. The table presented in the original report is provided below:

	1/10/2011 to 6/3/2012	7/3/2012 to 21/9/2012	22/9/2012 to 21/2/2013	22/2/2013 to 30/9/2013	Total
Non-compliance with CPD scheme	5	6	9	8	28
Non-payment of registration fee	2	9	6	14	31
Removed by Professional Conduct Committee	0	0	0	1	1
Total	7	15	15	23	60

4. The remainder of this paper sub-analyses the 60 registrants removed from the Register in the following ways:
 - a. Age
 - b. Gender
 - c. OEI qualified from
 - d. Length of time in practise (since GOsC opened Register)
 - e. Location

Tabled data for Item 19

Age

5. Of those registrants removed from the Register, 87% (52 registrants) are below the age of 50, of which 67% (35 registrants) are below the age of 40.

Age range	Number of registrants	Removed for fee non-payment	Removed for CPD non-compliance	Removed under FtP proceedings
70-79	1	0	1	0
60-69	2	1	1	0
50-59	5	2	2	1
40-49	17	12	5	0
30-39	21	9	12	0
20-29	14	7	7	0

Gender

6. An analysis of gender shows that marginally more women have been removed than men in the two year reporting period.

Gender	Number of registrants	Removed for fee non-payment	Removed for CPD non-compliance	Removed under FtP proceedings
Male	28 (47%)	12	15	1
Female	32 (53%)	19	13	0

OEI qualified from

7. Of those removed from the Register 55% (33 registrants) graduated from either the BSO or the ESO. While this is a reasonably large number, it does reflect the position that these two institutions are among the largest in the sector.

OEI	Number of registrants	Removed for fee non-payment	Removed for CPD non-compliance	Removed under FtP proceedings
BSO	19 (32%)	9	9	1
ESO	14 (23%)	7	7	0
BCOM	11 (18%)	7	4	0
SIOM	4 (7%)	2	2	0
OBU	3 (5%)	2	1	0
COET	3 (5%)	1	2	0

Tabled data for Item 19

LSO	2 (3%)	1	1	0
Overseas qualified	1 (2%)	1	0	0
<i>Unknown</i>	<i>3 (5%)</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>

Length of time in practice (since GOSc opened Register)

8. It is interesting to note that 42% of those removed from the Register (25 registrants) had 5 years or less in practise.

Length of time in practise (years)	Number of registrants	Removed for fee non-payment	Removed for CPD non-compliance	Removed under FtP proceedings
16	2	0	2	0
15	3	3	-	0
14	4	2	2	0
13	-	-	-	-
12	3	0	2	1
11	4	2	2	0
10	1	1	0	0
9	2	1	1	0
8	4	2	2	0
7	8	2	6	0
6	4	2	2	0
5	5	2	3	0
4	6	2	4	0
3	8	6	2	0
2	6	6	0	0

Location

9. The geographical split identifies that 60% (36 registrants) were UK based with 40% (24 registrants) based overseas.
10. Looking specifically at the UK registrants, there is no indication that, for example, more people were removed from the south of the UK compared to the north and vice versa. The location of the 36 registrants ranges from London, Devon, Lancashire, Warwickshire and Leicestershire among others.

Tabled data for Item 19

11. Turning to those who were based overseas, there is again a wide spread of locations from France, Barbados, Republic of Ireland to Israel and it is not possible to identify any particular trend(s).

Recommendation: To note the content of this paper.